

RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE EXAMINING GROUP 2815

PAGE 17

Attorney Docket No.: 020487-000300US

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Yueh Yale Ma et al.

Application No.: 09/467,141

Filed: December 10, 1999

For: DUAL-BIT DOUBLE-POLYSILICON SOURCE-SIDE INJECTION FLASH EEPROM CELL Examiner:

E. Lee

OCT 25

RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.1½ EXPEDITED PROCEDURE

**EXAMINING GROUP 2815** 

October 18, 2002

280

**Box AF** 

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

1

In response to the Final Office Action mailed June 18, 2002, for which a request for a one-month extension of time to reply is enclosed, Applicants provide the following remarks:

## **REMARKS**

Claims 1-18, 21-29, and 37-49 are pending. Claims 38-49 have been withdrawn from consideration by the Examiner as being directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed. The remaining claims have been rejected as set forth in the following section.

## 35 USC 102(b) and 103(a) rejections:

Claims1-4, 7-9, and 25-26 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Tigelaar USPN 5,273,926. Claims 5, 6, 21-24, 27-29, and 37 are rejected

Yueh Yale Ma et al. Application No.: 09/467,141

Page 2

1

under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tigelaar '926 in view of Guterman '691. Claims 10-18 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tigelaar '926. These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Claim 1 distinguishes over Tigelaar at least by reciting "first and second floating gates over the channel region".

The Office Action indicates that the first and second floating gates recited in Applicants' claim 1 are shown by floating gate elements 60a, 60b in Fig. 3e of

Tigelaar. This is traversed because elements 60a and 60b form part of one floating gate
60, and thus do not constitute "first and second floating gates" as recited in Applicants' claim 1.

Tigelaar in column 5, lines 11-16, in reference to Fig. 5c, states:

The floating gates 58-62 are shown in phantom, and preferably take the form of hollowed-out rectangles.

Portions 100 ... connect the floating gate portions 60a and 60b to be a single conductive unit.

Underline is added. That floating gate portions 60a and 60b form part of a single floating gate is more clearly shown in Fig. 3d. Here, a rectangular portion 82 of floating gate 60 is cut out so that a rectangular opening is created in floating gate 60. Thus, portions 60a and 60b form parts of a single floating gate, not "first and second floating gates" as recited in Applicants' claim 1.

The "first and second floating gates" recited in Applicants' claim 1 has significant functional implications. Two floating gates in one cell enables storing two bits of information in one cell (hence the invention title "dual-bit ... cell"). In contrast, Tigelaar's cell can store only one bit of information since it contains only one floating gate. Support for this assertion can be found in column 5, lines 36-38 wherein Tigelaar states "[t]he cell of the invention is read, written to and erased in a fashion similar to conventional EEPROM cells such as the cell shown in FIG. 2". The cell in Fig. 2 has only one floating gate and stores one bit of information.

Yueh Yale Ma et al.

Application No.: 09/467,141

Page 3

Thus, claim 1 and its dependent claims 2-18 and 21-27 distinguish over Tigelaar at least for the above reason.

Claims depending from claim 1 include features which further distinguish them over Tigelaar. For example, claim 9 recites "each of the first and second floating gates stores one bit of information". As stated above, Tigelaar's cell has only one floating gate and thus is not capable of storing two bits of information.

Claim 28 includes similar limitations to those discussed above in connection with claim 1, and thus claim 28 and its dependent claims 29 and 37 distinguish over Tigelaar for at least the reasons stated above.

## CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants believe all claims now pending in this Application are in condition for allowance and an action to that end is urged. If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would aid in the prosecution of this case in any way, please call the undersigned at 650-326-2400.

Respectfully submitted,

Barmak Sani Reg. No. 45,068

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP Two Embarcadero Center, 8<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Francisco, California 94111-3834

Tel: (415) 576-0200 Fax: (415) 576-0300

BXS:gjs PA 3235616 v1 Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box

Corres. and Mail

PTO/SB/21 (08-

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031 Rt and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

015		Application Number	09/467,141	-1
TRANSMITTAL  OCT 2 3 2002 FORM  (to be used to all correspondence after initial filing)		Filing Date	December 10, 1999	E CH
		First Named Inventor	Ma, Yueh Yale	10 CC
		Group Art Unit	2815	72
		Examiner Name	E. Lee	CE OI
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	6	Attorney Docket Number	020487-000300US	47.65

Total Number of Pages in This Submiss	ion 6	Attorney Docket Number	020487-000300US					
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)								
Fee Transmittal Form		ment Papers Application)	After Allowance Communication to Group					
Fee Attached	☐ Drawin	ng(s)	Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences					
Amendment / Reply	Licens	ing-related Papers	Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)					
After Final	Petitio	n ·	Proprietary Information					
Affidavits/declaration(s)		n to Convert to a ional Application	Status Letter					
		of Attorney, Revocation e of Correspondence Address	Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):					
Express Abandonment Request		nal Disclaimer st for Refund	Return Postcard					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement	CD, N	umber of CD(s)						
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	Rema	Remarks  The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees to Deposit Account 20-1430.						
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application								
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53								
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT								
'''''	Townsend and Townsend and Crew LLP							
and Individual name  Barmak Sani		Reg. N	Reg. No. 45,068					
Signature Assurable .								
Date October 18, 200	)2							

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING** 

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231\_on.this.date:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

October 18, 2002

Typed or printed name Gloria Sikora

Signature

Date October 18, 2002

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be send to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

PA 3258577 v1